

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	.
09/522,184	03/09/2000	Henry Li	36941/CAG/B600	2290	
23363 7	23363 7590 06/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SÙITE 500			VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT		_
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PÄSADENA,	CA 91105		2661		7
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/522,184	LI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David R Vincent	2661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	lav 2004.					
· —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-14,16-26,28-48,50-71,73-83 and 85-94 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-14,16-26,28-48,50-71,73-83 and 85-94 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 15.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2661

1. The request filed on 5/11/04 for a RCE based on parent Application No. 0/522,184 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-94 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The applicant still has 88 claims pending in the application. There does not appear to be any reason why the invention could not be claimed in 30 claims or less. Using 88 claims appears to merely add to the complexity and length of time involved on both parties.
- 4. Claim 1 specifies a phrase a data pump for demodulating if the format is modulated. Does this sound like the data pump is not there is modulated data is not present?
- 5. Claim 1 specifies the phrase "capable of" and it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.
- 6. Claim 48 specifies receiving a modulated modem data. Does the term "a" need to be there?

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1,3-5,7, 41, 14,26,48,50, 71,57, 83,35,57,36-37, 58, 59, 62, 17, 28, 61, 73, 77, 85, 29, 30,51,52, 38,74,84,86,16, 18,39, 63, 75, 87, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arimilli (US 6,515,984).

As shown in e.g., Figs, 3-6C, 11-19, Arimilli discloses a plurality of signals and formats (receiving and transmitting at least voice and fax from and to both analog PSTN and digital leased lines, e.g., Fig. 6C and respective disclosure), as specified in claims 1,14,26,48,71,83; a first device being a telephone (RX from PSTN, Fig. 6C); detecting inputs or formats (col. 7, lines 47-53; col. 9, lines 59-67; col. 23, lines 40-64); encoding voice data (col. 4, lines 46-65; col. 7, lines 11-27; col. 12, lines 43-49; col. 14, lines 15-65); receiving from PSTN (col. 8); PCM data (col. 11, lines 50-67; col. 14, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184

Art Unit: 2661

54-65); fax (col. 8); using computer readable devices (col. 9); data pump or demodulating fax data or data that was modulated using a voice band carrier (pumping out data from fax demodulator, cols. 23-24; col. 4, lines 46-65; demodulating from analog carrier, col. 7, lines 1-10; col. 32, line 65-col. 24, line 14); selectively outputting both the demodulated fax data and the encoded voice data (e.g., Figs. 2, 15-19; or col. 4, lines 30-46; outputting to digital leased lines, col. 5, lines 9-13; col. 6, lines 9-14; composite link, 315; muxing sporadic activity, col. 5, lines 40-51), decoding from packet network (Fig. 6A, col. 7, lines 30-47; input/output, 602, Fig. 6A; A/D and D/A, bi-directional, Fig. 6C; col. 6 lines 55-59), using packets (col. 5, lines 40-51); using packet data networks (col. 9, lines 15-47, especially line 39; DDS network, Fig. 6B); buffering data (col. 6, lines 30-47; 604, Fig. 6A; 402, Fig. 6C; col. 14, lines 40-53); voice activity detection (col. 12, lines 4-11; col. 20, lines 10-25; 1205, Fig. 12; col. 15, line 49-col. 16, line 59), as specified in claims 1,3-5,7, 14,26,48,71,83,35,50, 57,36-37,58,59,62,17,28,61, 73,85, 29, 30,51,52,38,74,86,16,18,39,63,75,87,and 60.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2661

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,23,42,68,78,92, 6, 19, 40, 64, 76, 88, 9, 24, 69, 79, 93, 11,12,44, 46, 20,21,46,65,43, 66,45, 81,89,90, 13,22,47,67, 82, 91, 31, 53, 10,25,70,80,94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arimilli (US 6,515,984) as set forth above in view of Murphy (US 2002/0036791).

However, Arimilli fails to particularly call for voice activity detection from the packet data network (PDN) to the PSTN, as specified in e.g., claims 8,23,42,68,78,92; inserting comfort noise, as specified in claims 6,19,40,64,76,88,9, 24,42,68,69, 79,93; using the buffers (col. 6, lines 30-47; 604, Fig. 6A; 402, Fig. 6C; col. 14, lines 40-53) for the purpose of jitter compensation, as specified in claims 11,12,20,21,46,65, 66,81, 89,90; adjusting holding times in the jitter buffers, as specified in claims 13,22,47,67,82,91; and specifically using IP, as specified in claims 31 and 53.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2661

Murphy teaches voice activity detection from the packet data network and suppressing when no voice is detected (claims 9-15, especially 9, 12), as specified in e.g., claims 8,23,42,68,78,92; inserting comfort noise (claims 9-14, especially claim 12), as specified in claims 6,19,40,64,76, 88,9,24,42,68,69,79,93; using the buffers (claims 9-14) for the purpose of jitter compensation, as specified in claims 11, 12, 20, 21, 46, 65, 66, 81, 89, 90; adjusting holding times in the jitter buffers (claims 9-14, especially claim 10), as specified in claims 13,22,47,67,82,91; and specifically using IP (sections 29 and 38-39, 119), as specified in claims 31 and 53; detecting lost packets (claim 13-14), as specified in claims 10,25,70,80,94.

It would have been obvious to add the reverse path voice activity detection of Murphy since it is clear that data is being received from the packet network disclosed in Arimilli (Fig. 6A, col. 7, lines 30-47; input/output, 602, Fig. 6A; A/D and D/A, Fig. 6C; col. 6 lines 55-59). By detecting voice silence in both directions, and using the various buffers (e.g., col. 7, lines 1-270 to compensate for jitter and detecting lost packets, Arimilli could make the signals sound more natural or pleasing and Arimilli is clearly concerned with quality (cols. 13-14, especially col. 13, lines 39-40 and col. 14, lines 2-6).

Art Unit: 2661

Arimilli already discloses using packets and frames (Figs. 15-19; col. 6, lines 48-65) by using IP packets, the data could more easily be sent from the disclosed leased lines and digital data network (DDN, col. 9, lines 34-39) to the Internet so that more people could be reached and make use of the services. It is obvious that the DDN disclosed by Arimilli can be the Internet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims, 31-34, 53-56, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Arimilli (US 6,515,984) as set forth above in view of Chen (US 6,611,531).

However, Arimilli fails to particularly call for Frame Relay, ATM, and TDM, as specified in claims 60, 31-34, 53-56.

Chen teaches transmitting and receiving from a PSTN (e.g., col. 32, lines 54-67) to and from a digital data/packet network (Figs. 43, 45) where the DDN can be Frame Relay (Figs. 6, 10-12, 16, 18), ATM (Figs. 7, 13, 20), and TDM (Fig. 6) as specified in claims 60, 31-34, 53-56. Chen also teaches signals from telephones (col. 29), voice encoding (902, Fig. 9; 1010, Figs. 10, 21, 44), fax demodulating (Fig. 14).

It would have been obvious to make the DDN disclosed in Arimilli to be a FR, ATM or TDM network since Arimilli discloses packets and DDNs (col. 9, lines 34-39 and outputting to digital

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184

Art Unit: 2661

Page 8

leased lines, col. 5, lines 9-13; col. 6, lines 9-14). By using the FR, ATM or TDM lines, the output data could be sent at a very high rate. Using FR and ATM data could be prioritized and Arimilli discloses using priorities (col. 6, lines 20-24).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David R Vincent

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2661

Art Unit 2661

June 3. 2004